Dear Jim, More on the Lane/NBC thievery; possible use in 1996 9/21/76

I learned by phone today that the LATimes has a story quoting Abby Mann as saying that he has given the essential King evidence to the new House committee or quoting Fauntroy as confirming that his source is Mann.

As a result I did a radio broadcast to what is probably a minor station there, as I recall KFOL, calling Mann and Lane crooks and deceivers of the House.

No matter how minor the report should get back to Mann. We'll probably continue in trust for his friends, who will have undoubtedly prepared him for something like this by saying I imagine it all.

A nice touch is that the LATimes did carry a small item when Les' story appeared.

Mann, according to this account of the LATimes story, is to testify to all of this when the committee cranks itself up.

They have their special variant of what Redditt told Les, unfactual, as usual. If Redditt said it it would be incompetent and I'd be surprised. It really is vintage Lane, not what I'd expect far from Reditt, who impressed me as a solid man, conservative.

However, we now have NBC, this long after my putting them on notice and having the certified receipt back, claiming to have done my work.

Les' work, of course, is his own. But he interviewed no witness I did not tell him to and he began with my Cointelpro proofs. I still have the tape of this he listened to as his beginning point on that. Redditt is one I urged on him from what my own work had told me. I did not know of the real reason for the yanking of Redditt, that is, the one they gave him, but I did know of his being withdrawn. I do not know if it is in Frame-Up. I gave Les copies of all those notes, referred to in Frame-Up.

All of this is in the manuscript, honestly not with the fakery visited upon the Congress, as of before April.

So I am suggesting that if he have the LATimes or any other story prior to the next status call in 1996 it can be the most powerful kind of argument in it. We did put this into the record on May 5 and now the Congressional mind is being ripped off on it, and in the sense that the FBI conspired to kill Dr. King.

I, of course, would be glad to deny that the FBU killed Dr. King and to deny that there is any rational reason to believe it did.

However, this does address several germane questions, one of which is the harm to me from all this stonewalling, which alone enables the Lane/Mann/NBC thievery and the deception of the Congress.

Hastily.